SJ 30 – RESOLUTION PROPOSING A STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT TO RECOGNIZE A RIGHT OF PERSONAL REPRODUCTIVE AUTONOMY AND FREEDOM.

Committee on Government Administration and Elections 3-25-22

Dear members of the Government Administration and Elections committee,

I write in opposition to SJ 30 – but not for the reasons most people are opposed. The key passage reads:

"No law shall be enacted to deny or infringe the right of personal reproductive autonomy and freedom, fundamental to the liberty and dignity of self-determination, unless such law is justified by a compelling state interest and achieves such interest by the least restrictive means."

While SJ 30 is clearly intended to allow for NO kids, what lawmakers fail to recognize is that it **allows women to have TOO MANY KIDS**. Autonomy? One of my relatives has seven kids; another I know is working on baby number eight – EIGHT! This law would allow large families – any family – to keep reproducing like rabbits, consuming valuable resources in CT.

We need to conserve – not consume – resources. Allowing a woman to have an unlimited number of kids, people are going to use this proposed statute to justify so called "freedom" – which amounts to an unlimited consumption of our dwindling natural resources. How does THAT guarantee our liberty? This produces nothing but more greenhouse gases and all kinds of environmental damage.

Please vote NO on SJ 30!

Sincerely,

Sally F Shelton